STAT

FROM: Clifford D: May Jones Administration  Administration  Or. Other despendence man number and place of process of proc					
SUBJECT (Optional)  Study of the PATE  FROM Clifford Di May, Jr.  Acting Deputy Piector for Administration  TO: Office despendent, norm number, and all the properties of the patential for the					
FROM: Clifford D: May Jones Administration  Administration  Or. Other despendence man number and place of process of proc		outing and reco	RD SHEET		
Acting Deputy Director for Administration and State of the Control of Administration and State of the Control of State of State of the Control of State of the Control of State of S	SUBJECT: (Optional) Study of the PATB				
Services    Services	Acting Deputy Directo	EXTENSION	The second of th		
Director of Medical Services  2  3  5  FYI = The 1G assures me that his contractor will examine the question of testing bias in the use of the test battery  Att: Memoranudum from 1G dtd  10 August 79 w/att  4  7  7  7  7  8  8  8  8  8  9  9  10  10  10  10  10  10  10  10	TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	CPPICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom		
Services  2  3. FYI The IG assures me that his contractor will examine the question of testing bias in the use of the test battery  Att: Memoranudum from IG dtd  4. In the property of the pr	Director of Medical	RECEIVED FORWARDED	in a whom. Draw a line across column after, each comment.)		
In the contractor will examine the question of testing bias in the use of the test barrery.  Att: Memoranudum from IG-dtd 10. August 79. w/att  6.			Charlie:		
3   Section of the test battery   Att: Memoranudum from IG-dtd   10 August 79 w/att    5   Section of the test battery    6   Section of the test battery    7   Section of the test battery    8   Section of the test battery    8   Section of the test battery   Att: Memoranudum from IG-dtd   10 August 79 w/att    8   Section of the test battery    11   Section of the test battery    Att: Memoranudum from IG-dtd   10 August 79 w/att    11   Section of the test battery    Att: Memoranudum from IG-dtd   10 August 79 w/att    Att: Memoranudum from IG-dtd   10 August 79 w/att   10 August 79 w/att   11 August 79 w/att   12 August 79 w/att   13 August 79 w/att   14 August 79 w/att   15 August 79 w/att   16 August 79 w/att   17 August 79 w/att   18 August 79 w/att   18 August 79 w/att   18 August 79 w/att   19 August 79 w/att   10 August 79 w/att   10 August 79 w/att   11 August 79 w/att   12 August 79 w/att   13 August 79 w/att   14 August 79 w/att   15 August 79 w/att   16 August 79 w/att   17 August 79 w/att   18 August 79 w/att   18 August 79 w/att   19 August 79 w/att   10 August 79 w/att   10 August 79 w/att   11 August 79 w/att   12 August 79 w/att   13 August 79 w/att   14 August 79 w/att   15 August 79 w/att   16 August 79 w/att   17 August 79 w/att   18 August 79 w/att   19 August 79 w/att   10 August 79 w/att   10 August 79 w/att   11 August 79 w/att   11 August 79 w/att   11 August 79 w/att   12 August 79 w/att   13 August 79 w/att   14	2. (1984)		his contractor will examine the		
1.0. August 79 w/att  5.  6.  7.  8.  8.  9.  9.  10. August 79 w/att  11. Original PRS D/ONS w/att  11. DDA Chrono w/att  11. CIM Chrono w/att			use of the test battery		
9.  10. Assure  11. Distribution:  Original PRS > D/OMS : W/att  1 - DDA : Subj : w/att  1 - CIM Chrono w/o att  1 - CIM Chrono w/att  1 - CIM Chrono w/att	A constitution of the second o		数据 整线电路 医克耳氏病 经分类的 医二甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基		
9.  10. Assure  11. Distribution:  Original PRS > D/OMS : W/att  1 - DDA : Subj : w/att  1 - CIM Chrono w/o att  1 - CIM Chrono w/att  1 - CIM Chrono w/att					
9.  10. Assure  11. Distribution:  Original PRS > D/OMS : W/att  1 - DDA : Subj : w/att  1 - CIM Chrono w/o att  1 - CIM Chrono w/att  1 - CIM Chrono w/att	6. Supplied the second				
9.  10. Assure  11. Distribution:  Original PRS > D/OMS : W/att  1 - DDA : Subj : w/att  1 - CIM Chrono w/o att  1 - CIM Chrono w/att  1 - CIM Chrono w/att					
Distribution: Original PRS > D/OMS w/att 1 - DDA Subj w/att 1 - DDA Chrono w/o att 1 - CDM Chrono w/att					
Distribution: Original PRS > D/OMS w/att 1 - DDA Subj w/att 1 - DDA Chrono w/o att 1 - CDM Chrono w/att					
Original PRS - D/CMS w/att  1 - DDA Subj w/att  1 - DDA Chrono w/o att  1 - CDM Chrono w/att			MORNODE PROCES 27		
Original PRS - D/CMS w/att  1 - DDA Subj w/att  1 - DDA Chrono w/o att  1 - CDM Chrono w/att	11. Control of the co				
14.	12.		Distribution		
15.			1 - DDA Subj w/att 1 - DDA Chrono w/o att 1 - CDM Chrono w/att		
	DRM BIM USE PREVIOUS				

6] 0 use previous

Approved For Release 2006/10/31 CIA-RDP83-00156R000600010104-6

	Approved Fo	r Release 2006/1	0/31 : CIA-RDP83	-00156 <del>12006</del> 00 <u>0101</u>	04-6
••				INSPECTO	ON CENERAL
				10 13 10	A legistry  9-2477/1
	MEMORANDUM FOR:	Acting Deputy	Director for	Administration	
	FROM :	John H. Walle Inspector Ger			
	SUBJECT :	Study of the	PATB		STATINTL
STATINTL					
STATINTL	forwarding the presented to out the Statement of employees. Uniform Guidelineffects of those specifically as for any particular	r contractor, is made in the contractor is made in the contractor is made in the contractor. It is not to the contractor is made in the contractor in the contractor is not to the contractor in the contractor in the contractor is not to the contractor in the contractor in the contractor is not to the contractor in the contractor in the contractor is not to the contractor in the cont	You ade to the lega understands to Selection Pro Also on page 3 atractor discus persons. We	resterday, tor work which is will note on page l selection and place he importance of to cedures and the le , item F.3. the Sa s possible testing feel, therefore, PATB will be cons	s being e l of lacement the egal tatement g biases that
STATINTL	2. In our contemplating a crimination. A on the attached	study focused Ithough our ow Statement and any general bid	specifically o n efforts are m our conversati	we understood he n the question of ore broadly conco on with B will be dealt w	was dis- med, based we are
					STATINTL
	Attachment: As Stated		John H.	Waller C	

#### STATEMENT OF WORK

Study of the Agency's Use of the Professional Applicant Test Battery(PATB)

#### I. Objectives

The purpose of this contract is to obtain an expert, independent critique of the Agency's use of the Professional Applicant Test Battery; this is part of an overall survey of recruitment being conducted by the Inspector General, consonant with his responsibility. to determine the effectiveness of programs in implementing the policy objectives of the Agency. This contract is to conduct a survey of the ways in which the Agency's use of the PATB does and does not contribute to the effective, proper, and legal selection, placement and promotion of employees. At issue is the question of whether the Agency's professional testing and evaluation program is valid, relevant and up to the current state of the art or in need of repair; it is not the contractor's job to develop an alternative testing system.

#### II. Procedure

In the conduct of this review, the consultant will be expected to:

- Study the PATB in terms of abilities and attributes being appraised (the test rationale).
- B. Consult with Psychological Services Staff for explanation of the genesis and evolution of the Test Battery, of standards and procedures, and how various components of the Agency use the Battery in the selection, assignment and promotion of employees;

- C. Study and evaluate the study methods, the data collected, records maintained, and the reports prepared by the psychological Services Staff relative to the validity of the Battery; and
- D. Review a properly sanitized sample of the interpretations of individuals' test scores prepared by the Psychological Services Staff.

The contractor will be supported in this study by two Agency employees who will arrange for access to relevant data and interviews.

# III. Tasks

A. Review validation data on tests of intellectual ability.

Since 1958 approximately 19 in-house studies have been conducted which have at least some relevance to PATB validity. The review of these studies should cover test reliability, the adequacy of the existing data in demonstrating PATB validity, the appropriateness of the study methods used to assess validity.

- B. Evaluate use of the SVIB (Strong-Campbell) in terms of available validation data and the manner in which scores are interpreted and presented.
  - C. Examine the appropriateness of the foreign language test.
- D. Review the test of writing ability in terms of objectivity of scoring and indications of validity.

- E. Review attitudinal and personality testing in terms of criterion-related or construct validity, the advisability of factor analyses, the method used to cluster the scores and summarize the findings, and the use of data from prior validation studies conducted in-house.
- F. Prepare a report assessing the findings and reaching conclusions as to the specific topics noted above.

The report will include discussion of:

- 1. The character of the Test Battery; its use by the Agency;
- 2. The role of the Psychological Services Staff in interpreting test scores and providing narrative reports;
- 3. The question of possible testing bias for any particular category of persons;
- 4. Implications of the fact that not all Agency entities use the Test Battery;
- 5. Recommended improvements in the tests, their use\*, and validation study methodology; and
- 6. Any changes that seem appropriate in terms of effectiveness, priority, and legality.

<sup>\*</sup>This task may expand to include PETB (Professional Employee Test Battery).

The general findings and recommendations of the consultant should be written to be intelligible to lay persons. Technical material useful to the Psychological Services Staff (PSS) should be appended to the general report.

Staff personnel are now interviewing users (and non-users) of the PATB and their interview reports will be made available. The consultant will be provided opportunity to interview members of the PSS, and other persons who may be of assistance in clarifying or elaborating on available written materials.

# IV. Schedule

An initial allocation of three (3) person-weeks has been made for this review which is to be completed in October 1979.

#### V. Reporting

The bulk of the work will be conducted at the sponsor's facility. The contractor may be requested to present informal weekly oral briefings on activities, problems encountered and any additional support required. The contractor will submit a draft final report. The Inspector General will review the draft report and return it to the contractor within one calendar week in the event that specific questions remain unanswered or require further elaboration.

## VI. Data Requirements

The contractor is responsible for resources and data that are available in the public domain. The sponsor will provide classified information as necessary.

# VII.Classification & Security

The data provided by the sponsor may be classified to the Secret level; however, none of these data are necessarily appropriate for inclusion in the contractor's report. Since the report will deal primarily with validation methods that are in the public domain, the report is expected to be unclassified so long as the sponsor is not identified and no reference is made to classified source data. Security clearances will be required of all who have access to classified data provided as source documentation for the report.